



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/630,991

07/29/2003

John T. Stonick

209.009-US

7681

35795

7590

11/15/2006

JONATHAN T. KAPLAN

ATTORNEY AT LAW

10800 SE 17TH CIRCLE

SUITE E66

VANCOUVER, WA 98664

EXAMINER

BENGHUZZI, MOHSIN M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2611

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/630,991

Applicant(s)

STONICK ET AL.

Examiner

Mohsin (Ben) Benghuzzi

Art Unit

2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 July 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>January 12, 2004</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants claim 'a first phase slicer' and 'a second phase slicer' (see lines 2 and 6 of the claim) without providing reasonable description in the submitted specification of what is meant by a phase slicer. Examiner will, hereinafter, interpret the two slicers as being phase detectors.

4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear whether applicants are claiming 'a first phase slicer' (See Line 2 of the claim) or 'first data slicer' (See Line 4 of the claim). Examiner will, hereinafter, interpret applicant's intention is to claim a first phase slicer.

It is also unclear whether applicants are claiming 'a second phase slicer' (See Line 6 of the claim) or 'second data slicer' (See Lines 7 and 9 of the claim). Examiner will, hereinafter, interpret applicant's intention is to claim a second phase slicer.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tang et al. (US Pub 2002/0075012).

1) Regarding claim 1:

Tang et al. discloses an equalization circuit to receive a plurality of input symbols and to generate an output signal wherein the output signal is representative of a transmitted symbol (100 in Fig. 1), the equalization circuit comprising:

a first data slicer having a plurality of inputs and an output, wherein a first input is adapted to receive the plurality of input symbols and a second input is adapted to receive a first slicer level, and wherein the first data slicer outputs a first or second value based on the amplitude of the input symbol relative to the first slicer level (120 in Fig. 1, Paragraph 17 Lines 1-4, Table 1 Page 2, Paragraphs 19 and 20);

a second data slicer having a plurality of inputs and an output, wherein a first input of the second data slicer is adapted to receive the plurality of input symbols and a

second input of the second data slicer is adapted to receive a second slicer level, and wherein the second data slicer outputs the first or second value based on the amplitude of the input symbol relative to the second slicer level (130 in Fig. 1, Paragraph 17 Lines 1-4, Table 1 Page 2, Paragraphs 19 and 20); and

logic circuitry, coupled to the first and second data slicers, to output a signal having either a first or second logic level wherein the first logic level is associated with the first value and the second logic level is associated with the second logic level (140 in Fig. 1), and wherein:

if the data slicers output the same value, the logic circuitry outputs the logic level that is associated with the value output by the data slicers (Table 1 Page 2); and

if the data slicers output different values, the logic circuitry outputs the complement of the logic level of the immediately preceding input symbol (Table 1 Page 2).

2) Regarding claim 2:

Tang et al. discloses the equalization circuit of claim 1 wherein the first and second data slicers each include at least one voltage comparator (Pages 3-4 claim 1 Lines 8-13).

3) Regarding claim 3:

Tang et al. discloses the equalization circuit of claim 2 wherein the first and second data slicers each include a plurality of serially coupled sense amplifiers (Paragraph 17 Lines 4-6).

4) Regarding claim 4:

Tang et al. discloses the equalization circuit of claim 1 further including adaptive circuitry, coupled to the first data slicer, to determine the first slicer level during operation of the equalization circuit and to provide the first slicer level to the first data slicer (Paragraph 16 Lines 4-7 and Paragraph 20 Lines 1-3).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tang et al. (US Pub 2002/0075012) in view of Davis et al. (US 6,505,222).

1) Regarding claim 5:

Tang et al. discloses a receiver, coupled to a communications channel, to receive a plurality of input symbols transmitted by a transmitter, and to generate an output signal that is representative of each transmitted symbol (Paragraph 5 Lines 1-4 and Paragraph 16 Lines 3-4), the receiver comprising:

equalization circuitry, coupled to the communications channel to receive the plurality of input symbols (100 in Fig. 1), the equalization circuitry including:

a first data slicer having a plurality of inputs and an output, wherein a first input is adapted to receive the plurality of input symbols and a second input is adapted

to receive a first slicer level, and wherein the first data slicer outputs a first or second value based on the amplitude of the input symbol relative to the first slicer level (120 in Fig. 1, Paragraph 17 Lines 1-4, Table 1 Page 2, Paragraphs 19 and 20);

a second data slicer having a plurality of inputs and an output, wherein a first input of the second data slicer is adapted to receive the plurality of input symbols and a second input of the second data slicer is adapted to receive a second slicer level, and wherein the second data slicer outputs the first or second value based on the amplitude of the input symbol relative to the second slicer level (130 in Fig. 1, Paragraph 17 Lines 1-4, Table 1 Page 2, Paragraphs 19 and 20);

logic circuitry, coupled to the first and second data slicers, to output a signal having either a first or second logic level wherein the first logic level is associated with the first value and the second logic level is associated with the second logic level (140 in Fig. 1), and wherein:

if the data slicers output the same value, the logic circuitry outputs the logic level that is associated with the value output by the data slicers (Table 1 Page 2); and

if the data slicers output different values, the logic circuitry outputs the complement of the logic level of the immediately preceding input symbol (Table 1 Page 2).

Tang et al. does not disclose, a memory, coupled to the second input of each data slicers, wherein the memory stores information which is representative of the first and second slicer levels. However, Davis et al. discloses, a memory, coupled to the

second input of each data slicers, wherein the memory stores information which is representative of the first and second slicer levels (Column 11 Lines 23-29).

It is desirable to store information representative of a first and second slicer levels of two slicers. Storing information representative of slicer levels prevents the information from having to be regenerated each time the levels are needed for comparison with first slicers' inputs. This results in an equalization circuit that is faster and more efficient. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a memory for storing information representative of the first and second slicer levels, as Davis et al. discloses, in the receiver of Tang et al., in order to result in an equalization circuit that is faster and more efficient.

2) Regarding claim 6:

Tang et al. discloses receiver of claim 5 further including adaptive circuitry, coupled to the second input of each data slicers, wherein the adaptive circuitry adjusts the first and second slicer levels in accordance with the performance of the receiver (Paragraph 16 Lines 4-7 and Paragraph 20 Lines 1-3).

9. Claims 7-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tang et al. (US Pub 2002/0075012) and Davis et al. (US 6,505,222), and further in view of Little (US Pub 2003/0081697).

1) Regarding claim 7:

Tang et al. and Davis et al. do not disclose, further including adaptive circuitry, coupled to the second input of the first data slicer, wherein the adaptive

Art Unit: 2611

circuitry changes the first data slicer level based on an upper edge and a lower edge of a receive eye of the first data slicer. However, Little discloses, further including adaptive circuitry, coupled to the second input of the first data slicer, wherein the adaptive circuitry changes the first data slicer level based on an upper edge and a lower edge of a receive eye of the first data slicer (Paragraph 40 Lines 1-8 and Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11).

It is desirable that a slicer level of a data slicer in a receiver is adaptively changed based on an upper edge and a lower edge of a receive eye of the data slicer. Including adaptive circuitry for changing slicer level will result in a data slicer with a slicer level that is optimized for symbol detection. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include adaptive circuitry that changes the first data slicer level based on an upper edge and a lower edge of a receive eye of the first data slicer, as Little discloses, in the equalization circuitry of Tang et al. and Davis et al., in order to have a data slicer that is optimized for symbol detection.

2) Regarding claim 8:

Little further discloses, further including margining circuitry, coupled to the adaptive circuitry, wherein the margining circuitry determines the upper inner edge and the lower inner edge of the receive eye of the first data slicer (Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11, wherein, the threshold generation system is understood to be the margining circuitry, and the inner edges are interpreted as the upper and lower inner edges of the receive eye).

3) Regarding claim 9:

Little further discloses, wherein the margining circuitry includes a margining slicer having a plurality of inputs and an output, wherein a first input of the margining slicer is adapted to receive the plurality of input symbols and a second input is adapted to receive a margining slicer level, and wherein the margining slicer outputs a first or second value based on the amplitude of the input symbol relative to the margining slicer level (Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11, wherein, the threshold generation system is understood to be the margining circuitry, and the mid point between inner edges is interpreted to be the margining slicer level).

4) Regarding claim 10:

Little further discloses, wherein the margining circuitry includes reference level adjustment circuitry to generate the margining slicer level (Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11, wherein, the threshold generation system is understood to be the reference level adjustment circuitry).

5) Regarding claim 11:

Little further discloses, wherein the reference level adjustment circuitry generates margining slicer levels that vary according to the margining algorithm (Block 640 in Figs. 6, 7 and Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11, wherein, it is clearly understood that the margining algorithm is executed by the adaptive slicer threshold generation system).

6) Regarding claim 12:

Tang et al. and Davis et al. do not disclose, further including adaptive circuitry, coupled to the second input of the second slicer, wherein the adaptive circuitry changes

the second slicer level based on an upper edge and a lower edge of a receive eye of the second slicer. However, Little discloses, further including adaptive circuitry, coupled to the second input of the second slicer, wherein the adaptive circuitry changes the second slicer level based on an upper edge and a lower edge of a receive eye of the second slicer (Paragraph 40 Lines 1-8 and Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11). Therefore, as discussed in claim 7 above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include adaptive circuitry that changes the second slicer level based on an upper edge and a lower edge of a receive eye of the second slicer, as Little discloses, in the equalization circuitry of Tang et al. and Davis et al., in order to have a data slicer that is optimized for symbol detection.

7) Regarding claim 13:

Little further discloses, further including margining circuitry, coupled to the adaptive circuitry, wherein the margining circuitry determines the location of the upper inner edge and the lower inner edge of the receive eyes of the first and second slicer. (Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11, wherein, the threshold generation system is understood to be the margining circuitry, the inner edges are interpreted as the upper and lower inner edges of the receive eye, and that it is easily concluded that location determination of first slicer edges by the margining circuitry is easily extrapolated to simultaneous location determination of second slicer edges).

8) Regarding claim 14:

Little further discloses, wherein the margining circuitry includes a margining slicer having a plurality of inputs and an output, wherein a first input of the margining slicer is

adapted to receive the plurality of input symbols and a second input is adapted to receive a margining slicer level, and wherein the margining slicer outputs a first or second value based on the amplitude of the input symbol relative to the margining slicer level (Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11, wherein, the threshold generation system is understood to be the margining circuitry, and the mid point between inner edges is interpreted to be the margining slicer level).

9) Regarding claim 15:

Little further discloses, wherein the margining circuitry includes reference level adjustment circuitry to generate the margining slicer level (Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11, wherein, the threshold generation system is understood to be the reference level adjustment circuitry).

10) Regarding claim 16:

Little further discloses, wherein the reference level adjustment circuitry generates margining slicer levels that vary according to the margining algorithm (Block 640 in Figs. 6, 7 and Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11, wherein, it is clearly understood that the margining algorithm is executed by the adaptive slicer threshold generation system).

10. Claims 17-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tang et al. (US Pub 2002/0075012), Davis et al. (US 6,505,222), Little (US Pub 2003/0081697), and further in view of Ma et al. (US Pub 2002/0094024).

1) Regarding claim 17: (claim as interpreted by examiner)

Tang et al., Davis et al., and Little disclose the receiver of claim 15, but do not disclose:

a first phase slicer having a plurality of inputs and an output, wherein a first input is adapted to receive the plurality of input symbols and a second input is adapted to receive a first slicer level, and wherein the first phase slicer outputs a first or second value based on the amplitude of the input symbol relative to the first slicer level

a second phase slicer having a plurality of inputs and an output, wherein a first input of the second data slicer is adapted to receive the plurality of input symbols and a second input of the second data slicer is adapted to receive a second slicer level, and wherein the second data slicer outputs the first or second value based on the amplitude of the input symbol relative to the second slicer level;

marginizing circuitry to measure the value of an error signal of a phase sampling point.

However, Ma et al. discloses:

a first phase slicer having a plurality of inputs and an output, wherein a first input is adapted to receive the plurality of input symbols and a second input is adapted to receive a first slicer level, and wherein the first phase slicer outputs a first or second value based on the amplitude of the input symbol relative to the first slicer level (Paragraph 7 Lines 1-5, wherein, one among the four paths of Fig. 1 through which signals a, b, c, and d pass is interpreted as the first phase slicer, i.e., the first phase detector).;

a second phase slicer having a plurality of inputs and an output, wherein a first input of the second data slicer is adapted to receive the plurality of input symbols and a second input of the second data slicer is adapted to receive a second slicer level, and

wherein the second data slicer outputs the first or second value based on the amplitude of the input symbol relative to the second slicer level (Paragraph 7 Lines 1-5, wherein, a second among the four paths of Fig. 1 through which signals a, b, c, and d pass is interpreted as the second phase slicer, i.e., the second phase detector);

marginizing circuitry to measure the value of an error signal of a phase sampling point (Paragraph 7 Lines 1-5, Lines 22-25 and 37 in Fig. 2, wherein, the phase difference detector is interpreted as the marginizing circuitry).

It is desirable to change a phase slicer (detector) level based on the value of the phase error signal. Phase slicer (detector) level adjustment based on phase error results in optimized signal phase detection. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the receiver of Tang et al., Davis et al., and Little a first and a second phase slicers (detectors) and to include a marginizing circuitry and an adaptive circuitry for, respectively, detecting phase error and adjusting slicer (detector) levels, as Ma et al. discloses, in order to result in the receiver having optimized signal phase detection.

Ma et al. does not disclose, adaptive circuitry, coupled to marginizing circuitry and the second input of the first slicer, wherein the adaptive circuitry changes the first slicer level based on the value of the error signal. However, as discussed in claim 6 above, Tang et al. discloses, adaptive circuitry, coupled to marginizing circuitry and the second input of the first slicer, wherein the adaptive circuitry changes the first slicer level based on the value of the error signal (Paragraph 16 Lines 4-7 and Paragraph 20 Lines 1-3, wherein, the offset generator is interpreted as the adaptive circuitry).

2) Regarding claim 18:

Little further discloses, wherein the margining circuitry includes a margining slicer having a plurality of inputs and an output, wherein a first input of the margining slicer is adapted to receive the plurality of input symbols and a second input is adapted to receive a margining slicer level, and wherein the margining slicer outputs a first or second value based on the amplitude of the input symbol relative to the margining slicer level (Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11, wherein, the threshold generation system is interpreted to be the margining circuitry, and the mid point between inner edges is interpreted to be the margining slicer level).

3) Regarding claim 19:

Tang et al. discloses a receiver, coupled to a communications channel, to receive a plurality of input symbols transmitted by a transmitter, and to generate an output signal that is representative of each transmitted symbol (Paragraph 5 Lines 1-4 and Paragraph 16 Lines 3-4), the receiver comprising:

equalization circuitry, coupled to the communications channel to receive the plurality of input symbols (100 in Fig. 1), the equalization circuitry including:

a first data slicer having a plurality of inputs and an output, wherein a first input is adapted to receive the plurality of input symbols and a second input is adapted to receive a first slicer level, and wherein the first data slicer outputs a first or second value based on the amplitude of the input symbol relative to the first slicer level (120 in Fig. 1, Paragraph 17 Lines 1-4, Table 1 Page 2, Paragraphs 19 and 20);

a second data slicer having a plurality of inputs and an output, wherein a first input of the second data slicer is adapted to receive the plurality of input symbols and a second input of the second data slicer is adapted to receive a second slicer level, and wherein the second data slicer outputs the first or second value based on the amplitude of the input symbol relative to the second slicer level (130 in Fig. 1, Paragraph 17 Lines 1-4, Table 1 Page 2, Paragraphs 19 and 20);

logic circuitry, coupled to the first and second data slicers, to output a signal having either a first or second logic level wherein the first logic level is associated with the first value and the second logic level is associated with the second logic level (140 in Fig. 1), and wherein:

if the data slicers output the same value, the logic circuitry outputs the logic level that is associated with the value output by the data slicers (Table 1 Page 2); and

if the data slicers output different values, the logic circuitry outputs the complement of the logic level of the immediately preceding input symbol (Table 1 Page 2).

Tang et al. does not disclose margining circuitry, including at least one margining slicer, to determine at least one performance parameter of the receiver. However, as discussed in claim 17 above, Ma et al. discloses margining circuitry, including at least one margining slicer, to determine at least one performance parameter of the receiver (Paragraph 7 Lines 1-5, Lines 22-25 and 37 in Fig. 2, wherein, the phase difference

detector is interpreted as the margining circuitry and the error signal is interpreted as the one performance parameter).

As discussed in claim 6 above, Tang et al. further discloses adaptive circuitry, coupled to the margining circuitry and the second input of the first data slicer, wherein the adaptive circuitry adjusts the first slicer level based on the at least one performance parameter (Paragraph 16 Lines 4-7 and Paragraph 20 Lines 1-3, wherein, the offset generator is interpreted as the adaptive circuitry and the offset value is interpreted as the one performance parameter).

4) Regarding claim 20:

Little, as discussed in claim 7 above, discloses, wherein the at least one performance parameter of the receiver includes an upper inner edge and a lower inner edge of a receive eye of the first data slicer (Paragraph 40 Lines 1-8 and Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11, wherein, the threshold generation system is understood to be the margining circuitry, and the inner edges are interpreted as the upper and lower inner edges of the receive eye).

5) Regarding claim 21:

Little, as discussed in claim 12 above, discloses wherein the at least one performance parameter of the receiver includes an upper edge and a lower edge of a receive eye of the second data slicer (Paragraph 40 Lines 1-8 and Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11).

6) Regarding claim 22:

Ma et al., as discussed in claim 17 above, discloses wherein the at least one performance parameter of the receiver includes error signal of a phase sampling point (Paragraph 7 Lines 1-5, Lines 22-25 and 37 in Fig. 2, wherein, phase difference produced by the phase difference detector is interpreted as the error signal).

7) Regarding claim 23:

Little discloses wherein the margining slicer includes a plurality of inputs and an output, wherein a first input of the margining slicer is adapted to receive the plurality of input symbols and a second input is adapted to receive a margining slicer level, and wherein the margining slicer outputs a first or second value based on the amplitude of the input symbol relative to the margining slicer level (Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11, wherein, the threshold generation system is interpreted to be the margining circuitry, and the mid point between inner edges is interpreted to be the margining slicer level).

8) Regarding claim 24:

Little discloses wherein the margining circuitry includes reference level adjustment circuitry to generate the margining slicer level (Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11, wherein, the threshold generation system is understood to be the reference level adjustment circuitry).

9) Regarding claim 25:

Little discloses wherein the reference level adjustment circuitry generates margining slicer levels that vary according to the margining algorithm (Block 640 in Figs. 6, 7 and Paragraph 44 Lines 1-11, wherein, it is clearly understood that the margining algorithm is executed by the adaptive slicer threshold generation system).

10)Regarding claim 26:

Tang et al. discloses the receiver of claim 19 wherein the first, second and margining data slicers each include a plurality of serially coupled sense amplifiers (Paragraph 17 Lines 4-6).

### ***Conclusion***

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Redman-White et al. (US Pub 2002/0021646) discloses an apparatus and a method for estimating input signal values at sampling instants in which a Viterbi decoder is utilized. Dagdeviren et al. (US Pub 2004/0120426) disclose a data recovery circuit comprising five distinct data slicers with locations at either the center or edge of the "eye" in the eye diagram of incoming data.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohsin (Ben) Benghuzzi whose telephone number is (571) 270-1075. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 8:30am- 5:00pm.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mohsin (Ben) Benghuzzi

November 4, 2006

  
MOHAMMED GHAYOUR  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER